UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,969	05/02/2006	Micah R. Jones	19365-105214	5107
28886 CLARK HILL,	7590 10/10/200 P.C	EXAMINER		
500 WOODWARD AVENUE, SUITE 3500			MCPARTLIN, SARAH BURNHAM	
DETROIT, MI 48226			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/577,969	JONES, MICAH R.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sarah B. McPartlin	3636		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice of the condition	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2006</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/2/06.	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. If applicant desires to claim the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 60/524,709, a specific reference to the prior-filed application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) must be included in the first sentence(s) of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications.

If the instant application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable

Application/Control Number: 10/577,969 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information referred to in the information disclosure statements filed on May
 2, 2006 has been considered as to the merits.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,969 Page 4

Art Unit: 3636

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities: It appears as if the word "arrange" (claim 1, line 10) should be replaced with the word - - arranged - - to follow idiomatic norms. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones (US 2002/0060481). With respect to claim 1, Jones discloses a seat assembly (10) for use in an automotive vehicle comprising: a generally horizontal seat cushion (14); a seat back (16) pivotally coupled to said seat cushion (14) for movement between an upright seating position and a folded flat position overlying said seat cushion (14), said seat back (14) including a front face (unlabeled) facing said seat cushion (14) and an opposite rear face (unlabeled); a seat back panel (28)(52) fixedly secured to said rear face of said seat back (16) and having a support surface (34) for supporting a load above said seat back (16) in said folded flat position; and at least one hook (62) secured to said seat back panel (28)(52) and arranged to project downwardly from said seat back in said upright position (see Figure 2) to prevent usage and project upwardly (see

Figure 1) from said seat back (16) in said folded flat position for us in supporting article on said seat back panel.

With respect to claim 2, the hook (62) includes a slanted portion (78) projecting outwardly and downwardly from said seat back panel (28)(52) when said seat back is in said upright seating position.

With respect to claim 3, said hook (62) includes a retaining portion (82) projecting from said slanted portion (78) and generally perpendicular from said seat back (16) in said folded flat position to define a space between said retaining portion (82) and said seat back panel (28)(52) for securing articles to said hook (62).

Said seat back panel (28)(52) includes a pair of elevating panels (28) and (52).

With respect to claim 5, said seat back panel (28)(52) includes a connection piece (52) fixedly secured to said rear face of said seat back and extending outwardly and generally perpendicular to said seat back (16) (see portion (56)).

With respect to claim 6, said seat back panel (28)(52) includes a support surface piece (28) extending from said connection piece (52) generally parallel to said seat back (16) to support a load spaced from said seat back in said folded flat position, and defining a cavity (36) between said support surface piece (28) and said seat back (16) for providing stowage there between.

With respect to claim 7, said seat back panel (28)(52) includes a pair of hooks (72) and (74) projecting from said connection piece (52) of said seat back panel for use in said folded flat position.

With respect to claim 8, said seat back panel includes at least one opening (unlabeled) extending through at least on of said connection piece (52) and said support surface piece (28) for retaining an article (80) along said seat back (16).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (US 2002/0060481) in view of Pesta et al. (6,142,561). As disclosed above, Jones reveals all claimed elements with the exception of a clip for attaching said pair of elevating panels of said seat back panel to said seat back.

Pesta teaches the use of a clip (68) for attaching a seat back panel element (22) to a frame member (24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use a clip element (68) as taught by Pesta to attach the elevating support panel (28)(52) to the seat back (16) disclosed by Jones et al. Such a modification provides for secure attachment and simple installation.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Laskowski et al. (6,702,375); Bush et al. (6,199,948); Lu (5,713,633); Kifer (5,863,092); Demick et al. (6,059,358); Wojcik (6,860,550).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah B. McPartlin whose telephone number is 571-272-6854. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on 571-272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sarah B. McPartlin/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 3636 Application/Control Number: 10/577,969

Art Unit: 3636

SBM October 3, 2007 Page 8